arXiv:1010.4627v1 [math.CA] 22 Oct 2010

VARIATION OPERATORS FOR SEMIGROUPS AND RIESZ TRANSFORMS ON BMO IN THE SCHRÖDINGER SETTING

J.J. BETANCOR, J.C. FARIÑA, E. HARBOURE, AND L. RODRÍGUEZ-MESA

Abstract. In this paper we prove that the variation operators associated with the heat semigroup and Riesz transforms related to the Schrödinger operator are bounded on the suitable BMO type space.

1. Introduction

We consider the Schrödinger operator $\mathscr{L}$ defined by $\mathscr{L} = -\Delta + V(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$. Here V is a nonnegative and not identically zero function satisfying, for some $q \geq n/2$, the following reverse Hölder inequality:

(RH_q) There exists $C > 0$ such that, for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\Big(\int_B V(x)^q dx \Big)^{1/q} \leq C \int_B V(x) dx.$$

We write $V \in RH_q$ when V verifies the property (RH_q). Note that if V is a nonnegative polynomial, then $V \in RH_q$ for every $1 < q < \infty$. Also, if $V_\alpha(x) = |x|^\alpha$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, V_α belongs to RH_q provided that $\alpha q > -n$. Hence, $V_\alpha \in RH_{n/2}$ when $\alpha > -2$, and $V_\alpha \in RH_n$ if $\alpha > -1$.

Harmonic analysis operators related to Schrödinger operator (Riesz transforms, maximal operators associated with heat and Poisson semigroups for $\mathscr{L}$, Littlewood-Paley g-functions, fractional integrals,...) have been extensively studied in last years. The papers of Shen [29] and Zhong [37] can be considered as the starting points. In [29] and [37] Riesz transforms in the Schrödinger setting were studied in L^p-spaces. Other operators related to $\mathscr{L}$ were investigated in L^p-spaces in [5], [19], [25], [26] and [32], amongst others.

Dziubanski and Zienkiewicz defined Hardy spaces associated with $\mathscr{L}$ (see [14], [15], and [16]). A function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is in $H_1^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $W_*^{\mathscr{L}}(f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$W_*^{\mathscr{L}}(f) = \sup_{t>0} |W_t^{\mathscr{L}}(f)|,$$

and $W^{\mathscr{L}} = \{W_t^{\mathscr{L}}\}_{t>0}$ denotes the heat semigroup generated by $-\mathscr{L}$.

The dual space of $H_1^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was investigated in [13]. This dual space was characterized by the space $BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of bounded mean oscillation functions. A function $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is in $BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ provided that there exists $C > 0$ such that the following two properties are satisfied:

(i) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f_{B(x,r)}| dy \leq C,$$

where, as usual, $f_{B(x,r)} = \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} f(y) dy$, and $|B(x,r)|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of $B(x,r)$; and

(ii) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \geq \gamma(x)$,

$$\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy \leq C.$$

Here, the critical radii $\gamma(x)$ is defined by

$$\gamma(x) = \sup \Big\{ r > 0 : r^{2-n} \int_{B(x,r)} V(y) dy \leq 1 \Big\}.$$

This paper is partially supported by MTM2007/65609.

Since V is not identically zero, $0<\gamma(x)<\infty$. The norm $\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ of $f\in BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}=\inf\{C>0:\text{ (i) and (ii) hold}\}.$$

In [13] the behavior on $BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of certain maximal operators, Littlewood-Paley g functions and fractional integrals were studied. Also, the $BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$-boundedness properties of the Riesz transforms have been analyzed in [4], [12], [35] and [36].

Suppose that $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$ is a family of operators defined in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1\le p<\infty$. If $\rho>2$, the ρ-variation operator associated with $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$, $V_\rho(T_t)$, is defined by

$$V_\rho(T_t)(f)(x)=\sup_{\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\downarrow 0}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|T_{t_j}(f)(x)-T_{t_{j+1}}(f)(x)|^\rho\Big)^{1/\rho},$$

where the supremum is taken over all the real decreasing sequences $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^n$ that converge to zero. The operator $V_\rho(T_t)$ is related to the convergence of T_t, as $t\to 0^+$, and it estimates the fluctuations near the origin of the family $\{T_t\}_{t>0}$.

We consider the linear space E_ρ that consists of all those real functions F defined on $(0,\infty)$ such that

$$\|F\|_{E_\rho}=\sup_{\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\downarrow 0}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|F(t_j)-F(t_{j+1})|^\rho\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}<\infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all the real decreasing sequence $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ that converge to zero. $\|_{E_\rho}$ is a seminorm on E_ρ. The variation operator $V_\rho(T_t)$ can be rewritten in the following way

$$V_\rho(T_t)(f)(x)=\|T_t(f)(x)\|_{E_\rho}.$$

The variation operator V_ρ was introduced in the ergodic context by Bourgain [6] (see also Jones et al. [21]). In last years many authors have investigated the variation operator associated to semigroups of operators and singular integrals ([7], [8], [10], [11], [18], [20], [23] and [24]). Recently, Oberlin, Seeger, Tao, Thiele and Wright [27] have analyzed the variation norm related to Carleson Theorem.

In a previous paper [2] the authors studied the L^p-boundedness properties of the variation operators for the heat semigroup $\{W_t^{\mathscr{L}}\}_{t>0}$ and the family of truncated Riesz transforms $\{R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$, $\ell=1,\cdots,n$, in the Schrödinger context. Here our goal is to study the behavior of the variation operators $V_\rho(W_t^{\mathscr{L}})$ and $V_\rho(R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})$ in $BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Previously, we analyze the variation operators $V_\rho(W_t)$ and $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)$ in $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\{W_t\}_{t>0}$ and $\{R_\ell^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$, $\ell=1,\dots,n$, represent the classical heat semigroup and truncated Riesz transforms, respectively. By $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we denote the well known space of bounded mean oscillation functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state our results. The proof of the theorems are showed in Sections 3 (classical setting) and 4 (Schrödinger context).

Throughout this paper by C we denote a positive constant that can change from one line to another one. Moreover, if $B(x_0,r_0)$ with $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_0>0$, we define $B^*=B(x_0,2r_0)$ and $B^{**}=(B^*)^*$.

2. Main results

As it is well known the heat semigroup $\{W_t\}_{t>0}$ generated by $-\Delta$ is defined, for every $f\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1\le p\le\infty$, by

$$W_t(f)(x)=(4\pi t)^{-n/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{-|x-y|^2/4t}f(y)dy,\ \ x\in\mathbb{R}^n\ \ \textit{and}\ \ t>0.$$

The L^p-boundedness properties of the variation operator $V_\rho(W_t)$, $\rho>2$, was studied in [22, Theorem 3.3] and [10, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 2.1. *(*[22, Theorem 3.3] *and* [10, Theorem 1.1]*) If $\rho>2$, the variation operator $V_\rho(W_t)$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself, for every $1<p<\infty$, and from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

In [10] it was shown that the variation operator $V_\rho(W_t)$ is not bounded from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself. Also, they defined in [10, Section 5] a function $f\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $V_\rho(W_t)(f)(x)=\infty$, a.e. $x\in\mathbb{R}$, for every $\rho>2$. As it is well known $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a subset of the space $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of bounded mean oscillation functions. The behavior of $V_\rho(W_t)$ in the space $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is stated in the following.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\rho > 2$. If $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $V_\rho(W_t)(f)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $V_\rho(W_t)f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|V_\rho(W_t)f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.*

For every $\ell = 1, \cdots, n$, the Riesz transform $R_\ell(f)$ of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, is given by

$$R_\ell(f)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} c_n \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} \frac{x_\ell - y_\ell}{|x-y|^{n+1}} f(y)dy, \quad a.e.\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $c_n = \Gamma((n+1)/2)/\pi^{(n+1)/2}$.

The variation operators for R_ℓ, $\ell = 1, \dots, n$, were investigated in [7] and [8], where the following L^p-boundedness properties were established.

Theorem 2.3. *([7, Theorem 1.2] and [8, Theorem A and Corollary 1.4]). Let $\ell = 1, \dots, n$. If $\rho > 2$, the variation operator $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself, for every $1 < p < \infty$, and from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

By using transference methods Gillespie and Torrea ([18, Theorem B]), have proved dimension free $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|^\alpha dx)$ norm inequalities, for every $1 < p < \infty$ and $-1 < \alpha < p-1$, for variation operators of the Riesz transform R_ℓ, $\ell = 1, \dots, n$. The idea developed in the proof of [18, Lemma 1.4] allows us to analyze the behavior of the operators $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)$ on the space $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that if $\ell = 1, \dots, n$, $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then the integral $\int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} f(y)\frac{y_\ell - x_\ell}{|y-x|^{n+1}}dy$ may be not convergent when $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Indeed, the function $f(x) = \frac{1}{\log(x+2)}\chi_{(0,\infty)}(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, belongs to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the limit $\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{\varepsilon<|x-y|<N} \frac{f(y)}{x-y}dy$ does not exist, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. However, it is clear that, for every $0 < \varepsilon < \eta$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\int_{\varepsilon<|x-y|<\eta} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^n}dy < \infty$. Here, the operators $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)$ are defined on $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the obvious way by replacing $R_\ell^{\varepsilon_j}(f)(x) - R_\ell^{\varepsilon_{j+1}}(f)(x)$ by $c_n \int_{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j} f(y)\frac{y_\ell - x_\ell}{|y-x|^{n+1}}dy$, $\ell = 1, \cdots, n$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In [11, Theorem B] it was proved that if $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\rho > 2$ then, $V_\rho(H^\varepsilon)(f)(x) = \infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$, or $V_\rho(H^\varepsilon)(f)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where H denotes the Riesz transform on $\mathbb{R}$, that is, the Hilbert transform. Moreover, as it can be seen in [11, Section 1], if $f(x) = sgn(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $V_\rho(H^\varepsilon)(f)(x) = \infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In the next result we establish the behavior of the variation operators $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)$ on $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\ell = 1, \dots, n$ and $\rho > 2$. If $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)(f)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)(f) \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.*

We denote by $\{W_t^{\mathscr{L}}\}_{t>0}$ the heat semigroup associated with $\mathscr{L}$. For every $t > 0$, we can write

$$W_t^{\mathscr{L}}(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_t^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y)f(y)dy, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The main properties of the kernel function $W_t^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, can be encountered, for instance, in [13].

The L^p-boundedness properties of the variation operator $V_\rho(W_t^{\mathscr{L}})$ were studied in [2].

Theorem 2.5. *([2, Theorem 1.1]). Let $V \in RH_q$ where $q > n/2$ and let $\rho > 2$. Then, the variation operator $V_\rho(W_t^{\mathscr{L}})$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself, for every $1 < p < \infty$, and from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Our next result shows the behavior of the variation operator $V_\rho(W_t^{\mathscr{L}})$ on $\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $V \in RH_q$ where $q > n/2$ and let $\rho > 2$. Then, the variation operator $V_\rho(W_t^{\mathscr{L}})$ is bounded from $\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.*

Let $\ell = 1, \dots, n$. The Riesz transform $R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}$ is defined by

$$R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(f) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell}\mathscr{L}^{-1/2}f, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of smooth functions with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Here, the negative square root $\mathscr{L}^{-1/2}$ of $\mathscr{L}$ is defined in terms of the heat semigroup by

$$\mathscr{L}^{-1/2}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty W_t^{\mathscr{L}}(f)(x)t^{-1/2}dt.$$

Fractional powers of the Schrödinger operator $\mathscr{L}$ have been studied in [3].

In [2, Proposition 1.1] it was proved that $R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}$ can be extended to $L^p(\mathbb{R})^n$ as the principal value operator

$$R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(f)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y) f(y)dy, \ \ a.e.\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1}$$

where

$$R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (-i\tau)^{-1/2}\Gamma(x,y,\tau)d\tau, \ \ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n, \ x\neq y,$$

provided that

(i) $1 \le p < \infty$, and $V \in RH_n$;

(ii) $1 < p < p_0$, where $\frac{1}{p_0} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n}$, and $V \in RH_q$, $n/2 \le q < n$.

Moreover, $R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself when $1 < p < \infty$ and from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, provided that $V \in RH_n$. Also, $R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself when $1 < p < p_0$ and $V \in RH_q$, with $n/2 \le q < n$ ([29, Theorems 0.5 and 0.8]).

The formal adjoint operator $\mathscr{R}_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}$ of $R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}$ defined by

$$\mathscr{R}_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(f)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(y,x) f(y)dy, \ \ a.e.\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself when $p_0' < p < \infty$ and $V \in RH_q$, with $n/2 \le q < n$, where as usual p_0' denotes the exponent conjugated to p_0.

By defining the truncated Riesz transforms $R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, in the obvious way, the L^p-boundedness properties for the variation operator $V_\rho(R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})$ were established in [2].

Theorem 2.7. *([2, Theorem 1.2]). Let $\ell = 1,\dots,n$. Assume that $\rho > 2$ and $V \in RH_q$, $q \ge n/2$. Then, the variation operator $V_\rho(R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself, when $1 < p < p_0$, where $\frac{1}{p_0} = \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n}\right)_+$. Moreover, the operator $V_\rho(R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

If $f \in BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\ell = 1,\cdots,n$, the limit in (1) exists for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (see [2]). Thus, the Riesz transform $R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}$ is defined by (1) in $BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As it was mentioned earlier, in the classical case the situation is different. The behavior of the variation operators associated with the Riesz transforms $R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}$ is established in the following.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\rho > 2$, $\ell = 1,\dots,n$ and $V \in RH_q$.*

(i) If $q \ge n$, then the variation operator $V_\rho(R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})$ is bounded from $BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.

(ii) If $q \ge n/2$, then the variation operator $V_\rho(\mathscr{R}_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})$ is bounded from $BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.

Note that there exists a difference between the results in the classical and in the Schrödinger settings. In the latter case the operators are defined in the whole $BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, while in the classical case it is necessary to impose a "finite hypothesis". This fact was observed at first time in [13].

In order to analyze operators in the Schrödinger context on $\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we shall use some ideas developed in [13] and we will again exploit that the Schrödinger operator $\mathscr{L} = -\Delta + V$, where $V \in RH_q$, with $q > n/2$, is actually a nice perturbation of the Laplacian operator $-\Delta$.

Throughout the proof of the results that we have just showed the following properties will play an important role.

According to [13, Proposition 2.14] we choose a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, such that if $Q_k = B(x_k, \gamma(x_k))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following properties hold:

(i) $\cup_{k=1}^\infty Q_k = \mathbb{R}^n$;

(ii) For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $C, \beta > 0$ such that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{card}\ \{l \in \mathbb{N} : 2^m Q_l \cap 2^m Q_k \neq \varnothing\} \le C 2^{m\beta}.$$

According to [13, p. 346, after Lemma 9], if H is an operator and $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $Hf \in \mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ provided that there exists a positive constant C such that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

(i_k) $\frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k} |H(f)(x)|dx \le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, and

(ii_k) $Hf \in \mathrm{BMO}(Q_k^*)$ and $\|Hf\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(Q_k^*)} \le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Here $\mathrm{BMO}(Q_k^*)$ denotes the usual BMO-space on Q_k^*.

Moreover, we have that

$$\|Hf\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le M\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where the constant $M > 0$ only depends on the constant C.

Note that if the property (i_k) above holds for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then, $|H(f)(x)| < \infty$, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This fact is different from the one appeared in the classical Euclidean case (see Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 [1]).

3. Proof of Theorems 2.2 and 2.4

In this section we show our results about the behavior of the variation operator for the classical heat semigroup and Riesz transforms on $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.2.** Assume that $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $V_\rho(W_t)(f)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $B = B(x_0, r_0)$, with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_0 > 0$. We write

$$f = (f - f_B)\chi_{B^*} + (f - f_B)\chi_{(B^*)^c} + f_B = f_1 + f_2 + f_3.$$

According to Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |V_\rho(W_t)(f_1)(x)|^2 \, dx \leq C \int_{B^*} |f(x) - f_B|^2 \, dx \leq C|B| \|f\|^2_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2}$$

Then $V_\rho(W_t)(f_1)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, since $\{W_t\}_{t>0}$ is Markovian, $V_\rho(W_t)(f_3) = 0$. We choose $x_1 \in B(x_0, r_0)$ such that $V_\rho(W_t)(f_2)(x_1) < \infty$.

If E_ρ denotes the space introduced in Section 1, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |V_\rho(W_t)(f)(x) - V_\rho(W_t)(f_2)(x_1)| \, dx \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \Big| \|W_t(f)(x)\|_{E_\rho} - \|W_t(f_2)(x_1)\|_{E_\rho} \Big| \, dx \\ &\qquad \leq \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \|W_t(f)(x) - W_t(f_2)(x_1)\|_{E_\rho} \, dx \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \|W_t(f_1)(x) + W_t(f_2)(x) - W_t(f_2)(x_1)\|_{E_\rho} \, dx \\ &\qquad \leq \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \|W_t(f_1)(x)\|_{E_\rho} \, dx + \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \|W_t(f_2)(x) - W_t(f_2)(x_1)\|_{E_\rho} \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

According to (2) we get

$$\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \|W_t(f_1)(x)\|_{E_\rho} \, dx \leq \left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |V_\rho(W_t)(f_1)(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4}$$

Also, Minkowski inequality and [10, p. 91] lead to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \|W_t(f_2)(x) - W_t(f_2)(x_1)\|_{E_\rho} \, dx \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_t(x,y) f_2(y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_t(x_1,y) f_2(y) dy \right\|_{E_\rho} dx \\ &\qquad \leq C\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|W_t(x,y) - W_t(x_1,y)\|_{E_\rho} |f_2(y)| dy dx \\ &\qquad \leq \frac{C}{|B|}\int_B \int_{(B^*)^c} \frac{|x - x_1|}{|x-y|^{n+1}} |f(y) - f_B| dy dx \\ &\qquad \leq C\frac{r_0}{|B|}\int_B \int_{(B^*)^c} \frac{1}{(|y - x_0| - |x_0 - x|)^{n+1}} |f(y) - f_B| dy dx \\ &\qquad \leq C\frac{r_0}{|B|}\int_B \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^k r_0 \leq |y - x_0| < 2^{k+1} r_0} \frac{1}{(|y - x_0| - |x_0 - x|)^{n+1}} |f(y) - f_B| dy dx \\ &\qquad \leq C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{1}{(2^k r_0)^n} \int_{|y - x_0| < 2^{k+1} r_0} |f(y) - f_B| dy \leq C \|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

In the last inequality we have used the following well known property (see [34, VIII, Proposition 3.2])

$$\frac{1}{|2^m B|}\int_{2^m B}|f(y)-f_B|dy\leq Cm\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)},\quad m\in\mathbb{N}.$$

>From (3), (4) and (5) we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B|V_\rho(W_t)(f)(x)-V_\rho(W_t)(f_2)(x_1)|\,dx\leq C\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus, we prove that $V_\rho(W_t)(f)\in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 3.1. *After a careful read of the proof of Theorem 2.2 we can deduce the following result that will be useful in the proof of Theorem 2.6.*

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\rho>2$ and $\mathscr{A}$ be a set of real decreasing sequences that converge to zero. Assume that*

$$V_{\rho,\mathscr{A}}(W_t)(f)(x)=\sup_{\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathscr{A}}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^\infty|W_{t_j}(f)(x)-W_{t_{j+1}}(f)(x)|^\rho\Big)^{1/\rho}<\infty,\quad a.e.\ x\in Q,$$

where Q is a ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $f\in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that B is a ball contained in Q. We define $f_2=(f-f_B)\chi_{(B^*)^c}$ and we choose $x_1\in B$ such that $V_{\rho,\mathscr{A}}(W_t)(f_2)(x_1)<\infty$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B\|W_t(f)(x)-W_t(f_2)(x_1)\|_{E_{\rho,\mathscr{A}}}dx\leq C\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where, for every function $h:(0,\infty)\mapsto\mathbb{C}$, $\|h\|_{E_{\rho,\mathscr{A}}}$ is defined by

$$\|h\|_{E_{\rho,\mathscr{A}}}=\sup_{\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathscr{A}}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^\infty|h(t_j)-h(t_{j+1})|^\rho\Big)^{1/\rho},$$

and $C>0$ does not depend on $\mathscr{A}$, f, nor B.

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.4.** Assume that $f\in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)(f)(x)<\infty$, a.e. $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$. To see that $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)(f)\in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we extend to $\mathbb{R}^n$ the procedure developed in the proof of [18, Lemma 1.4].

Let $B=B(x_0,r_0)$ be a ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We decompose f, as usual, by $f=f_1+f_2+f_3$, where $f_1=(f-f_B)\chi_{B^{**}}$, $f_2=(f-f_B)\chi_{(B^{**})^c}$ and $f_3=f_B$. According to Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)(f_1)(x)|^2dx\leq C\int_{B^{**}}|f(x)-f_B|^2dx\leq C|B|\|f\|^2_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\tag{6}$$

Then, $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)(f_1)(x)<\infty$, a.e. $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)(f_3)=0$. Then, since $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)(f)(x)<\infty$, a.e. $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, also $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)(f_2)(x)<\infty$, a.e. $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$. We choose $x_1\in B$ such that $V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)(f_2)(x_1)<\infty$.

If E_ρ denotes the space defined in Section 1, by (6) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B&|V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)(f)(x)-V_\rho(R_\ell^\varepsilon)(f_2)(x_1)|\,dx\\&\leq\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B\big|\|R_\ell^\varepsilon(f)(x)\|_{E_\rho}-\|R_\ell^\varepsilon(f_2)(x_1)\|_{E_\rho}\big|\,dx\\&\leq\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B\|R_\ell^\varepsilon(f)(x)-R_\ell^\varepsilon(f_2)(x_1)\|_{E_\rho}dx\\&\leq\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B\|R_\ell^\varepsilon(f_1)(x)\|_{E_\rho}dx+\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B\|R_\ell^\varepsilon(f_2)(x)-R_\ell^\varepsilon(f_2)(x_1)\|_{E_\rho}dx\\&\leq C\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B\|R_\ell^\varepsilon(f_2)(x)-R_\ell^\varepsilon(f_2)(x_1)\|_{E_\rho}dx.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

We define $R_\ell(z)=c_n\frac{z_\ell}{|z|^{n+1}}$, $z=(z_1,\cdots,z_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}$. We have that

$$\|R_\ell^\varepsilon(f_2)(x)-R_\ell^\varepsilon(f_2)(x_1)\|_{E_\rho}\leq A_1(x)+A_2(x),\quad x\in B,\tag{8}$$

where, for every $x\in B$,

$$A_1(x)=\left\|\int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon}(R_\ell(x-y)-R_\ell(x_1-y))f_2(y)dy\right\|_{E_\rho}$$

and

$$A_2(x) = \Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\chi_{\{\varepsilon<|x-y|\}}(y) - \chi_{\{\varepsilon<|x_1-y|\}}(y)\right) R_\ell(x_1-y) f_2(y)dy \Big\|_{E_\rho}.$$

By using Minkowski inequality and well known properties of the function $R_\ell(z)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1(x) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |R_\ell(x-y) - R_\ell(x_1-y)||f(y)-f_B|\chi_{(B^{**})^c}(y)dy \\
&\leq C\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \int_{2^k r_0 \leq |x_0-y| \leq 2^{k+1}r_0} \frac{|x-x_1|}{|x-y|^{n+1}} |f(y)-f_B|dy \\
&\leq C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k}\frac{1}{(2^k r_0)^n} \int_{2^{k+1}B} |f(y)-f_B|dy \\
&\leq C\|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad x \in B. \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

In order to analyze A_2 we split, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the integral there (under $\|.\|_{E_\rho}$) in four terms as follows. Let $\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a real decreasing sequence that converges to zero. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(10) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y) - \chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x_1-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y)\right| |R_\ell(x_1-y)||f_2(y)|dy \\
&\leq C\Bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_{j+1}+2r_0\}}(y)\chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y)|\frac{1}{|x_1-y|^n}|f_2(y)|dy \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_j\geq|x_1-y|<\varepsilon_j+2r_0\}}(y)\chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y)\frac{1}{|x_1-y|^n}|f_2(y)|dy \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x_1-y|<\varepsilon_{j+1}+2r_0\}}(y)\chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x_1-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y)\frac{1}{|x_1-y|^n}|f_2(y)|dy \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_j<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j+2r_0\}}(y)\chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x_1-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y)\frac{1}{|x_1-y|^n}|f_2(y)|dy\Bigg) \\
&= C(A_{2,1}^j(x)+A_{2,2}^j(x)+A_{2,3}^j(x)+A_{2,4}^j(x)), \qquad x \in B \textit{ and } j \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that if $x \in B$, then $A_{2,m}^j(x) = 0$, when $m = 1, 3$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_0 \geq \varepsilon_{j+1}$. Also, if $x \in B$, then $A_{2,m}^j(x) = 0$, when $m = 2, 4$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_0 \geq \varepsilon_j$.

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $2|x-y| \geq |x_1-y| \geq \frac{1}{2}|x-y|$, $y \notin B^{**}$ and $x \in B$, Hölder inequality leads to

$$A_{2,1}^j(x) \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y)\frac{1}{|x-y|^{ns}}|f_2(y)|^s dy\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} v_{j+1}^{\frac{1}{s'}}, \quad x \in B,$$

$$A_{2,2}^j(x) \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\max\{\varepsilon_{j+1},\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_j\}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y)\frac{1}{|x-y|^{ns}}|f_2(y)|^s dy\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} v_j^{\frac{1}{s'}}, \quad x \in B,$$

$$A_{2,3}^j(x) \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x_1-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y)\frac{1}{|x_1-y|^{ns}}|f_2(y)|^s dy\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} v_{j+1}^{\frac{1}{s'}}, \quad x \in B,$$

and

$$A_{2,4}^j(x) \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\max\{\varepsilon_{j+1},\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_j\}<|x_1-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y)\frac{1}{|x_1-y|^{ns}}|f_2(y)|^s dy\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} v_j^{\frac{1}{s'}}, \quad x \in B.$$

Here $1 < s < \infty$, $s' = \frac{s}{s-1}$, and $v_j = (\varepsilon_j + 2r_0)^n - \varepsilon_j^n$. Note that $v_j \leq C\max\{r_0, \varepsilon_j\}^{n-1}r_0$, for a certain $C > 0$.

We define the set $\mathscr{A} = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : r_0 < \varepsilon_j\}$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2,1}^j(x) &\leq C\frac{v_{j+1}^{1/s'}}{\varepsilon_{j+1}^{(n-1)/s'}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y)\frac{|f_2(y)|^s}{|x-y|^{n+s-1}}dy\right)^{1/s} \\
&\leq Cr_0^{1/s'}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y)\frac{|f_2(y)|^s}{|x-y|^{n+s-1}}dy\right)^{1/s},
\end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in B$ and $j+1 \in \mathscr{A}$. In a similar way we can see that

$$A_{2,2}^j(x) \leq Cr_0^{1/s'}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j\}}(y)\frac{|f_2(y)|^s}{|x-y|^{n+s-1}}dy\right)^{1/s}, \quad x \in B \text{ and } j \in \mathscr{A},$$

$$A^j_{2,3}(x) \leq C r_0^{1/s'} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1} < |x_1 - y| < \varepsilon_j\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^s}{|x_1 - y|^{n+s-1}} dy \right)^{1/s}, \quad x \in B \text{ and } j+1 \in \mathscr{A},$$

and

$$A^j_{2,4}(x) \leq C r_0^{1/s'} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1} < |x_1 - y| < \varepsilon_j\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^s}{|x_1 - y|^{n+s-1}} dy \right)^{1/s}, \quad x \in B \text{ and } j \in \mathscr{A}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(A^j_{2,1}(x) + A^j_{2,2}(x) \right)^{\rho} \right)^{1/\rho} &\leq C \left(\sum_{j+1 \in \mathscr{A}} |A^j_{2,1}(x)|^{\rho} + \sum_{j \in \mathscr{A}} |A^j_{2,2}(x)|^{\rho} \right)^{1/\rho} \\
&\leq C \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{\{\varepsilon_{j+1} < |x-y| < \varepsilon_j\}}(y) \frac{|f_2(y)|^s}{|x-y|^{n+s-1}} dy \right)^{\rho/s} r_0^{\rho/s'} \right)^{1/\rho} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_2(y)|^s}{|x-y|^{n+s-1}} dy \right)^{1/s} r_0^{1/s'} \\
&\leq C \Bigg(\Bigg(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2^k r_0)^n} \int_{|x_0 - y| < 2^{k+1} r_0} |f(y) - f_B|^s dy \frac{1}{2^{k(s-1)}} \Bigg)^{1/s} \\
&\leq C ||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad x \in B.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In a similar way we get

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |A^j_{2,3}(x) + A^j_{2,4}(x)|^{\rho} \right)^{1/\rho} \leq C ||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad x \in B. \tag{12}$$

>From (10), (11) and (12) we infer that

$$A_2(x) \leq C ||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad x \in B. \tag{13}$$

Then (7), (8), (9) and (13) imply that

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |V_{\rho}(R^{\varepsilon}_{\ell})(f)(x) - V_{\rho}(R^{\varepsilon}_{\ell})(f_2)(x_1)| dx \leq C ||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{14}$$

Thus, we prove that $V_{\rho}(R^{\varepsilon}_{\ell})(f) \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

By proceeding as in the above proof we can establish the following result that will be useful in the sequel.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $a > 0$ and $\ell = 1, ..., n$. We define, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$R^{\varepsilon}_{\ell,a}(f)(x) = \int_{\varepsilon < |x-y| < a} \frac{x_{\ell} - y_{\ell}}{|x-y|^{n+1}} f(y) dy.$$

Then, if $\rho > 2$, we have that for every $f \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $V_{\rho}(R^{\varepsilon}_{\ell,a})(f) \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\|V_{\rho}(R^{\varepsilon}_{\ell,a})(f)\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $C > 0$ does not depend on f nor on a.

Note that, for every $a > 0$, $f \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\ell = 1, \ldots, n$, $V_{\rho}(R^{\varepsilon}_{\ell,a})(f)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Indeed, let $a > 0$, $f \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\ell = 1, \ldots, n$. Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$V_\rho(R^\varepsilon_{\ell,a})(f) \leq V_\rho(R^\varepsilon_\ell)(f)$ and $f \in L^2_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, according to Theorem 2.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(0,m)} V_\rho(R^\varepsilon_{\ell,a})(f)(x)dx &\leq \int_{B(0,m)} V_\rho(R^\varepsilon_{\ell,a})(f\chi_{B(0,m+a)})(x)dx\\ &\leq \int_{B(0,m)} V_\rho(R^\varepsilon_{\ell})(f\chi_{B(0,m+a)})(x)dx\\ &\leq \sqrt{m}\Big(\int_{B(0,m)} (V_\rho(R^\varepsilon_{\ell})(f\chi_{B(0,m+a)})(x))^2dx\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\leq \sqrt{m}\Big(\int_{B(0,m+a)} |f(x)|^2dx\Big)^{1/2} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $V_\rho(R^\varepsilon_{\ell,a})(f)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in B(0,m)$.

4. Proof of Theorems 2.6 and 2.8

In this section we establish the boundedness in $BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the variation operators for the heat semigroup and Riesz transforms in the Schrödinger setting.

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.6.** Assume that $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Our objective is to show that $V_\rho(W^{\mathscr{L}}_t)(f)$ satisfies the properties (i_k) and (ii_k), for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Section 2).

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We now prove (i_k), that is, there exists $C > 0$, independent of k, such that

$$\frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k} |V_\rho(W^{\mathscr{L}}_t)(f)(x)|dx \leq C\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We decompose $W^{\mathscr{L}}_t(f)$ as follows

$$W^{\mathscr{L}}_t(f)(x) = H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}(f)(x) + L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}(f)(x), \;\; x \in \mathbb{R}^n \;\; and \;\; t > 0,$$

where

$$H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}(f)(x) = W^{\mathscr{L}}_t(f)(x)\chi_{\{t>\gamma(x_k)^2\}}(t), \;\; x \in \mathbb{R}^n \;\; and \;\; t > 0.$$

It is clear that

$$V_\rho(W^{\mathscr{L}}_t)(f) \leq V_\rho(H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t})(f) + V_\rho(L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t})(f). \tag{15}$$

Let $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a real decreasing sequence that converges to zero. Suppose that $j_k \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $t_{j_{k+1}} \leq \gamma(x_k)^2 < t_{j_k}$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t_j}(f)(x) - H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t_{j+1}}(f)(x)|^\rho\Big)^{1/\rho}\\ \leq\;& \sum_{j=1}^{j_k-1} |W^{\mathscr{L}}_{t_j}(f)(x) - W^{\mathscr{L}}_{t_{j+1}}(f)(x)| + |W^{\mathscr{L}}_{t_{j_k}}(f)(x)|\\ \leq\;& \sum_{j=1}^{j_k-1} \Big|\int_{t_{j+1}}^{t_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}W^{\mathscr{L}}_t(f)(x)dt\Big| + |W^{\mathscr{L}}_{t_{j_k}}(f)(x)|\\ \leq\;& \int_{\gamma(x_k)^2}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W^{\mathscr{L}}_t(x,y)\Big||f(y)|dydt + \sup_{t\geq\gamma(x_k)^2} |W^{\mathscr{L}}_t(f)(x)|\\ =\;& \Omega_{1,k}(f)(x) + \Omega_{2,k}(f)(x), \;\; x \in \mathbb{R}^n.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$V_\rho(H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t})(f) \leq \Omega_{1,k}(f) + \Omega_{2,k}(f). \tag{16}$$

According to [13, (5.4)], we get

$$\frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k} |\Omega_{2,k}(f)(x)|dx \leq C\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{17}$$

By using [13, (2.11)], since $\gamma(x) \sim \gamma(x_k)$, when $x \in Q_k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Omega_{1,k}(f)(x) \leq C \int_{\gamma(x_k)^2}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{t^{1+n/2}} e^{-c|x-y|^2/t} \Big(1 + \frac{t}{\gamma(x_k)^2}\Big)^{-1} dydt \\
\leq\ & C \int_{\gamma(x_k)^2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{1+n/2}} \Big(1 + \frac{t}{\gamma(x_k)^2}\Big)^{-1} \Big(\int_{|x-y|<\sqrt{t}} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{\sqrt{t}2^m \leq |x-y| < \sqrt{t}2^{m+1}}\Big) |f(y)| \Big(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{t}}\Big)^{-1-n} dydt \\
\leq\ & C \int_{\gamma(x_k)^2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{1+n/2}} \Big(1 + \frac{t}{\gamma(x_k)^2}\Big)^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m(1+n)}} \int_{|x-y|<2^m\sqrt{t}} |f(y)| dydt, \;\; x \in Q_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by [13, Lemma 2], it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m(1+n)} t^{n/2}} \int_{|x-y|<2^m\sqrt{t}} |f(y)| dy \\
\leq\ & \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N},\, 2^m\sqrt{t}\leq\gamma(x)} \frac{1}{2^{m(1+n)} t^{n/2}} \int_{|x-y|<2^m\sqrt{t}} |f(y)| dy + \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N},\, 2^m\sqrt{t}>\gamma(x)} \frac{1}{2^{m(1+n)} t^{n/2}} \int_{|x-y|<2^m\sqrt{t}} |f(y)| dy \\
\leq\ & C\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \Big(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N},\, 2^m\sqrt{t}\leq\gamma(x)} \frac{1}{2^m} \Big(1 + \log \frac{\gamma(x)}{2^m\sqrt{t}}\Big) + \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^m} \Big) \\
\leq\ & C\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \;\; t \geq \gamma(x_k)^2 \;\; and \;\; x \in Q_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{1,k}(f)(x) &\leq C \int_{\gamma(x_k)^2}^{\infty} \Big(1 + \frac{t}{\gamma(x_k)^2}\Big)^{-1} \frac{dt}{t} \|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq C \int_1^{\infty} \frac{du}{u(1+u)} \|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq C\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \;\; x \in Q_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{Q_k} \Omega_{1,k}(f)(x) dx \leq C\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{18}$$

By combining (16), (17) and (18) we get

$$\frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{Q_k} V_\rho(H_{k,t}^{\mathscr{L}})(f)(x) dx \leq C\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{19}$$

Here $C > 0$ does not depend on k.

We now decompose f as follows

$$f = f\chi_{Q_k^*} + f\chi_{(Q_k^*)^c} = f_1 + f_2.$$

It is clear that

$$V_\rho(L_{k,t}^{\mathscr{L}})(f) \leq V_\rho(L_{k,t}^{\mathscr{L}})(f_1) + V_\rho(L_{k,t}^{\mathscr{L}})(f_2). \tag{20}$$

By proceeding as above we get

$$\begin{aligned}
V_\rho(L_{k,t}^{\mathscr{L}})(f_1)(x) &\leq C\Big(\sup_{\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\downarrow 0} \Big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N},\, t_j\leq\gamma(x_k)^2} |W_{t_j}^{\mathscr{L}}(f_1)(x) - W_{t_{j+1}}^{\mathscr{L}}(f_1)(x)|^\rho \Big)^{1/\rho} \\
&+ \sup_{0<t\leq\gamma(x_k)^2} |W_t^{\mathscr{L}}(f_1)(x)| \Big) \\
&\leq C(V_\rho(W_t^{\mathscr{L}})(f_1)(x) + W_*^{\mathscr{L}}(f_1)(x)), \;\; x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $W_*^{\mathscr{L}}$ and $V_\rho(W_t^{\mathscr{L}})$ are bounded operators from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself (see Theorem 2.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{Q_k} V_\rho(L_{k,t}^{\mathscr{L}})(f_1)(x) dx &\leq \Big(\frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big(V_\rho(L_{k,t}^{\mathscr{L}})(f_1)(x)\Big)^2 dx \Big)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C\Big(\frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{Q_k^*} |f(x)|^2 dx \Big)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, from [13, Corollary 3], we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k} V_\rho(L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t})(f_1)(x)dx \leq C\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{21}$$

On the other hand, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} V_\rho(L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t})(f_2)(x) &\leq \int_0^{\gamma(x_k)^2}\int_{(Q_k^*)^c}\Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W_t^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y)\Big||f(y)|dydt + \sup_{0<t\leq\gamma(x_k)^2}|W_t^{\mathscr{L}}(f_2)(x)| \\ &= \Omega_{3,k}(f)(x) + \Omega_{4,k}(f)(x), \;\; x\in\mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

According to [13, (2.7)], for certain $C, c > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\gamma(x_k)^2}\int_{(Q_k^*)^c}\Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W_t^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y)\Big||f(y)|dydt \\ &\quad\leq \int_0^{\gamma(x_k)^2}\int_{|x-y|>\gamma(x_k)}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W_t^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y)\right|dt|f(y)|dy \\ &\quad\leq C\int_0^{\gamma(x_k)^2}\int_{|x-y|>\gamma(x_k)}|f(y)|\frac{e^{-c|x-y|^2/t}}{t^{n/2+1}}dtdy \\ &\quad\leq C\int_0^{\gamma(x_k)^2}\frac{1}{t^{n/2+1}}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\int_{2^j\gamma(x_k)<|x-y|\leq 2^{j+1}\gamma(x_k)}|f(y)|\left(\frac{t}{|x-y|^2}\right)^{(n+1)/2}dydt \\ &\quad\leq C\int_0^{\gamma(x_k)^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2^j\gamma(x_k))^{n+1}}\int_{|x-y|\leq 2^{j+1}\gamma(x_k)}|f(y)|dydt \\ &\quad\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^j(2^j\gamma(x_k))^n}\int_{|x_k-y|\leq 2^{j+3}\gamma(x_k)}|f(y)|dy \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad x\in Q_k. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Then, by (23),

$$\frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k}\Omega_{3,k}(f)(x)dx \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, since $|f| \in \mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, [13, Theorem 6] implies that

$$\frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k}\Omega_{4,k}(f)(x)dx \leq \frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k}W_*^{\mathscr{L}}(|f|)(x)dx \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Hence, we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k} V_\rho(L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t})(f_2)(x)dx \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{24}$$

By combining (21) and (24) we deduce

$$\frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k} V_\rho(L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t})(f)(x)dx \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{25}$$

Finally, (15), (19) and (25) imply that

$$\frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k} V_\rho(W_t^{\mathscr{L}})(f)(x)dx \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Note that $C > 0$ does not depend on k.

Thus the property (i_k) is established.

We are now going to prove (ii_k). Assume that $B = B(x_0, r_0) \subset Q_k^*$, with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_0 > 0$. Our objective is to see that

$$\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B |V_\rho(W_t^{\mathscr{L}})(f)(x) - c_B|dx \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{26}$$

for a certain constant c_B, where $C > 0$ does not depend on k nor on B. We decompose $W_t^{\mathscr{L}}$ as follows

$$W_t^{\mathscr{L}}(f) = H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}(f) + (L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}(f) - L_{k,t}(f)) + L_{k,t}(f), \;\; t > 0, \tag{27}$$

where $H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}$ and $L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}$ are defined as before, and

$$L_{k,t}(f)=W_t(f)\chi_{\{0<t\leq\gamma(x_k)^2\}}(t),\ \ t>0.$$

Suppose that $c_B=\|h_B\|_{E_\rho}$, where $h_B:(0,\infty)\mapsto\mathbb{C}$ is a function that will be specified later. Then, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}|V_\rho(W^{\mathscr{L}}_t)(f)(x)-c_B| &= |\|W^{\mathscr{L}}_t(f)(x)\|_{E_\rho}-\|h_B\|_{E_\rho}|\\ &\leq \|W^{\mathscr{L}}_t(f)(x)-h_B(t)\|_{E_\rho}\\ &\leq \|H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}(f)(x)+(L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}(f)(x)-L_{k,t}(f)(x))+L_{k,t}(f)(x)-h_B(t)\|_{E_\rho}\\ &\leq \|H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}(f)(x)\|_{E_\rho}+\|L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}(f)(x)-L_{k,t}(f)(x)\|_{E_\rho}+\|L_{k,t}(f)(x)-h_B(t)\|_{E_\rho}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, (26) will be shown when we prove the following three properties:

(A1) $\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B\|H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}(f)(x)\|_{E_\rho}dx\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$;

(A2) $\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B\|L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}(f)(x)-L_{k,t}(f)(x)\|_{E_\rho}dx\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$; and

(A3) $\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B\|L_{k,t}(f)(x)-h_B(t)\|_{E_\rho}dx\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$,

for a certain function $h_B:(0,\infty)\mapsto\mathbb{C}$, and where $C>0$ is independent of k and B.

According to (16) we have

$$V_\rho(H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t})(f)\leq\Omega_{1,k}(f)+\Omega_{2,k}(f).$$

By proceeding as above we get

$$|\Omega_{1,k}(f)(x)|\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\ \ x\in Q^*_k. \tag{28}$$

Moreover, by [13, (5.4)],

$$|\Omega_{2,k}(f)(x)|\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\ \ x\in Q^*_k. \tag{29}$$

>From (28) and (29) it follows that $(A1)$ holds.

To establish $(A2)$ we firstly observe that

$$\begin{aligned}V_\rho(L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t}-L_{k,t})(f)(x) &\leq \int_0^{\gamma(x_k)^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(W^{\mathscr{L}}_t(x,y)-W_t(x,y))\Big||f(y)|dydt\\ &+ \sup_{0<t\leq\gamma(x_k)^2}|W^{\mathscr{L}}_t(f)(x)-W_t(f)(x)|\\ &= \Omega_{5,k}(f)(x)+\Omega_{6,k}(f)(x),\ \ x\in\mathbb{R}^n.\end{aligned}$$

By [13, (5.5)] we get

$$\Omega_{6,k}(f)(x)\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\ \ x\in Q^*_k. \tag{30}$$

The perturbation formula ([13, (5.25)]) allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(W_t(x,y)-W^{\mathscr{L}}_t(x,y)\Big) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}V(z)W^{\mathscr{L}}_{t/2}(x,z)W_{t/2}(z,y)dz\\ &+ \int_0^{t/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}V(z)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}W^{\mathscr{L}}_{t-s}(x,z)W_s(z,y)dzds\\ &+ \int_{t/2}^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}V(z)W^{\mathscr{L}}_{t-s}(x,z)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}W_s(z,y)dzds\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^3K_j(x,y,t),\ \ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n\ \ \textit{and}\ \ t>0.\end{aligned}$$

According to [13, (2.3) and (2.8)], we get

$$\begin{aligned}|K_1(x,y,t)| &\leq Ct^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}V(z)e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2+|z-y|^2}{4t}}dz\\ &\leq Ct^{-n/2}e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{8t}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}V(z)t^{-n/2}e^{-\frac{|x-z|^2}{8t}}dz\\ &\leq C\gamma(x)^{-\delta}t^{-1+(\delta-n)/2}e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{8t}},\ \ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n\ \ \textit{and}\ \ 0<t<\gamma(x_k)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Here and in the sequel δ represents a positive constant.

Moreover, by using [13, (2.7) and (2.8)], since $t/2 < t-s < t$ when $0 < s < t/2$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|K_2(x,y,t)| &\leq C\int_0^{t/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(z)\frac{1}{(t-s)^{1+n/2}}e^{-c\frac{|x-z|^2}{t-s}}\frac{1}{s^{n/2}}e^{-\frac{|z-y|^2}{4s}}dzds\\ &\leq C\int_0^{t/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(z)\frac{1}{t^{1+n/2}}e^{-c\frac{|x-z|^2}{t}}\frac{1}{s^{n/2}}e^{-\frac{|z-y|^2}{4s}}dzds\\ &\leq C\frac{1}{t^{1+n/2}}e^{-c\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\int_0^{t/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(z)\frac{1}{s^{n/2}}e^{-c\frac{|z-y|^2}{s}}dzds\\ &\leq C\frac{1}{t^{1+n/2}}e^{-c\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}}\int_0^{t/2}\frac{s^{-1+\delta/2}}{\gamma(y)^{\delta}}ds\\ &\leq \gamma(y)^{-\delta}t^{-1+(\delta-n)/2}e^{-c\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}},\;\; x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n \;\; and \;\; 0<t<\gamma(x_k)^2.\end{aligned}$$

By proceeding in a similar way we obtain

$$|K_3(x,y,t)| \leq C\gamma(y)^{-\delta}t^{-1+(\delta-n)/2}e^{-c\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}},\;\; x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n \;\; and \;\; 0<t<\gamma(x_k)^2.$$

Hence, since $\gamma(x)\sim\gamma(y)\sim\gamma(x_k)$, provided that $|x-y|\leq\gamma(x_k)$ and $x\in Q_k^*$, we conclude that

$$\Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(W_t^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y)-W_t(x,y)\Big)\Big| \leq C\gamma(y)^{-\delta}t^{-1+(\delta-n)/2}e^{-c\frac{|x-y|^2}{t}},\;\; x\in Q_k^*,\; |x-y|\leq\gamma(x_k) \;\; and \;\; 0<t<\gamma(x_k)^2.$$

We obtain that, for certain $C,c>0$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{5,k}(f)(x) &\leq C\int_0^{\gamma(x_k)^2}\frac{t^{\delta/2-1}}{\gamma(x_k)^{\delta}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{e^{-c|x-y|^2/t}}{t^{n/2}}|f(y)|dydt\\ &\leq C\int_0^{\gamma(x_k)^2}\frac{t^{\delta/2-1}}{\gamma(x_k)^{\delta}}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-c2^{2j}}}{t^{n/2}}\int_{|x-y|\leq 2^j\sqrt{t}}|f(y)|dydt\\ &\leq C\int_0^{\gamma(x_k)^2}\frac{t^{\delta/2-1}}{\gamma(x_k)^{\delta}}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{2^{jn}e^{-c2^{2j}}}{(2^j\sqrt{t})^n}\int_{|x-y|\leq 2^j\sqrt{t}}|f(y)|dydt, \quad x\in Q_k^*.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by [13, Lemma 3.14], since $\gamma(x)\sim\gamma(x_k)$, $x\in Q_k^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{2^{jn}e^{-c2^{2j}}}{(2^j\sqrt{t})^n}\int_{|x-y|\leq 2^j\sqrt{t}}|f(y)|dy\\ &\quad=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N},\,2^j\sqrt{t}\leq\gamma(x)}\frac{2^{jn}e^{-c2^{2j}}}{(2^j\sqrt{t})^n}\int_{|x-y|\leq 2^j\sqrt{t}}|f(y)|dy+\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N},\,2^j\sqrt{t}>\gamma(x)}\frac{2^{jn}e^{-c2^{2j}}}{(2^j\sqrt{t})^n}\int_{|x-y|\leq 2^j\sqrt{t}}|f(y)|dy\\ &\quad\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N},\,2^j\sqrt{t}\leq\gamma(x)}2^{jn}e^{-c2^{2j}}\left(1+\log\frac{\gamma(x)}{2^j\sqrt{t}}\right)+\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N},\,2^j\sqrt{t}>\gamma(x)}2^{jn}e^{-c2^{2j}}\right)\\ &\quad\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left(\frac{\gamma(x_k)}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{\varepsilon},\quad x\in Q_k^* \;\; and \;\; 0<t<\gamma(x_k)^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon\in(0,\delta)$.

Therefore, we have that

$$\Omega_{5,k}(f)(x)\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\int_0^{\gamma(x_k)^2}\frac{t^{\delta/2-1-\varepsilon/2}}{\gamma(x_k)^{\delta-\varepsilon}}dt\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\quad x\in Q_k^*. \tag{31}$$

>From (30) and (31) we infer $(A2)$.

By (27) it follows that

$$V_\rho(L_{k,t})(f)\leq V_\rho(W_t^{\mathscr{L}})(f)+V_\rho(H_{k,t}^{\mathscr{L}})(f)+V_\rho(L_{k,t}^{\mathscr{L}}-L_{k,t})(f).$$

By proceeding as in the proof of (i_k) we get

$$\int_{Q_k^*}V_\rho(W_t^{\mathscr{L}})(f)(x)dx<\infty.$$

Then, $V_\rho(W_t^{\mathscr{L}})(f)(x)<\infty$, a.e. $x\in Q_k^*$.

>From (30) and (31) we deduce $V_\rho(L^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t} - L_{k,t})(f)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in Q^*_k$. Also, by (28) and (29), $V_\rho(H^{\mathscr{L}}_{k,t})(f)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in Q^*_k$.

Hence, $V_\rho(L_{k,t})(f)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in Q^*_k$. We consider the following decomposition of f

$$f = (f - f_B)\chi_{B^*} + (f - f_B)\chi_{(B^*)^c} + f_B = f_1 + f_2 + f_3.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} V_\rho(L_{k,t})(f_1)(x) &\leq C\Big(\sup_{\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}},\, t_j\leq\gamma(x_k)^2}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|W_{t_j}(f_1)(x) - W_{t_{j+1}}(f_1)(x)|^\rho\Big)^{1/\rho} \\ &+ \sup_{0<t\leq\gamma(x_k)^2}|W_t(f_1)(x)|\Big) \\ &\leq C(V_\rho(W_t)(f_1)(x) + W_*(f_1)(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, since W_* and $V_\rho(W_t)$ are bounded operators from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself (see Theorem 2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q^*_k}|V_\rho(L_{k,t})(f_1)(x)|dx &\leq C\Big(|Q_k|\int_{B^*}|f(x) - f_B|^2dx\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(|B||Q_k|)^{1/2}\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $V_\rho(L_{k,t})(f_1)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in Q^*_k$.

Also, since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_t(x,y)dy = 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$, we get

$$V_\rho(L_{k,t})(f_3) = |f_B| < \infty, \ \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Therefore, we deduce that $V_\rho(L_{k,t})(f_2)(x) < \infty$, a.e. $x \in Q^*_k$.

We choose $z_1 \in B$ such that $V_\rho(L_{k,t})(f_2)(z_1) < \infty$ and we define $h_B(t) = L_{k,t}(f_2)(z_1)$, $t \in (0,\infty)$.

Suppose that $\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a real decreasing sequence that converges to zero and that $j_k \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $t_{j_k} \leq \gamma(x_k)^2$ and $t_{j_k-1} > \gamma(x_k)^2$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Big|L_{k,t_j}(f)(x) - L_{k,t_j}(f_2)(z_1) - (L_{k,t_{j+1}}(f)(x) - L_{k,t_{j+1}}(f_2)(z_1))\Big|^\rho\Big)^{1/\rho} \\ = &\Big(\sum_{j=j_k}^{\infty}\Big|W_{t_j}(f)(x) - W_{t_j}(f_2)(z_1) - (W_{t_{j+1}}(f)(x) - W_{t_{j+1}}(f_2)(z_1))\Big|^\rho + \Big|W_{t_{j_k}}(f)(x) - W_{t_{j_k}}(f_2)(z_1)\Big|^\rho\Big)^{1/\rho} \\ \leq &C\Big(\Big(\sum_{j=j_k}^{\infty}\Big|W_{t_j}(f)(x) - W_{t_j}(f_2)(z_1) - (W_{t_{j+1}}(f)(x) - W_{t_{j+1}}(f_2)(z_1))\Big|^\rho\Big)^{1/\rho} \\ + &\sup_{0<t\leq\rho(x_k)^2}|W_t(f)(x) - W_t(f_2)(z_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|\|L_{k,t}(f)(x)\|_{E_\rho} - \|h_B\|_{E_\rho}\Big| \\ \leq &\sup_{\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\downarrow 0,\, 0<t_j\leq\gamma(x_k)^2}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Big|W_{t_j}(f)(x) - W_{t_j}(f_2)(z_1) - (W_{t_{j+1}}(f)(x) - W_{t_{j+1}}(f_2)(z_1))\Big|^\rho\Big)^{1/\rho} \\ + &\sup_{0<t\leq\rho(x_k)^2}|W_t(f)(x) - W_t(f_2)(z_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

By taking into account Proposition 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B\sup_{\{t_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\downarrow 0,\, 0<t_j\leq\gamma(x_k)^2}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} &\Big|W_{t_j}(f)(x) - W_{t_j}(f_2)(z_1) - (W_{t_{j+1}}(f)(x) - W_{t_{j+1}}(f_2)(z_1))\Big|^\rho\Big)^{1/\rho}dx \\ (32) \qquad\qquad &\leq C\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, according to [13, Pages 348 and 349] it follows that

$$\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B\sup_{0<t\leq\rho(x_k)^2}|W_t(f)(x) - W_t(f_2)(z_1)|dx \leq C\|f\|_{BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{33}$$

>From (32) and (33) we deduce $(A3)$.

Note that in all the occurrences the constant $C > 0$ does not depend on k nor on B.

Thus the proof of (ii_k) is finished.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.8.** (i) Assume, without lost of generality ([17]), that $V \in RH_q$ where $q > n$. We are going to prove that the variation operator $V_\rho(R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})$ is bounded from $BMO^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself. We consider, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the local operators

$$R_{\ell,k}^{\mathscr{L}}(f)(x) = PV \int_{|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)} R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y)f(y)dy,$$

and

$$R_{\ell,k}(f)(x) = PV \int_{|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)} R_\ell(x-y)f(y)dy.$$

Note that $|y - x_k| \leq 3\gamma(x_k)$ when $x \in Q_k^*$ and $|x-y| < \gamma(x_k)$. Then, if $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$R_{\ell,k}(f)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon<|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)} R_\ell(x-y)f(y)\chi_{3Q_k}(y)dy, \;\; a.e. \;\; x \in Q_k^*,$$

that is, this limit exists for almost all $x \in Q_k^*$ when $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also, $R_{\ell,k}^{\mathscr{L}}(f)(x)$ is defined for almost every $x \in Q_k^*$ when $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [2, Proposition 1.1]).

Let $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We are going to show, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the properties (i_k) and (ii_k) when $H = V_\rho(R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} V_\rho(R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})(f) &= (V_\rho(R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})(f) - V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})(f)) \\ &+ (V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})(f) - V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^{\varepsilon})(f)) + V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^{\varepsilon})(f) \\ &= F_{1,k} + F_{2,k} + V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^{\varepsilon})(f). \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{1,k}(x)| &\leq V_\rho(R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon} - R_{\ell,k}^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})(f)(x) \\ &= \sup_{\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\downarrow 0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left| \int_{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j} R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y)f(y)dy - \int_{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j,\,|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)} R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y)f(y)dy \right|^\rho \right)^{1/\rho} \\ &= \sup_{\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\downarrow 0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left| \int_{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j,|x-y|\geq\gamma(x_k)} R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y)f(y)dy \right|^\rho \right)^{1/\rho} \\ &\leq \int_{|x-y|>\gamma(x_k)} |R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y)||f(y)|dy, \quad x \in Q_k^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then, according to [4, Lemma 3, (a)], since $\gamma(x_k) \geq M\gamma(x)$, $x \in Q_k^*$, for a certain $0 < M < 1$ that does not depend on $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{1,k}(x)| &\leq C \int_{|x-y|>M\gamma(x)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^n} \frac{1}{1+|x-y|/\gamma(x)} |f(y)|dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^\infty \int_{M2^j\gamma(x)<|x-y|<M2^{j+1}\gamma(x)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^n} \frac{1}{1+|x-y|/\gamma(x)} |f(y)|dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{1}{(2^j\gamma(x))^n} \int_{|x-y|<2^{j+1}\gamma(x)} |f(y)|dy \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad x \in Q_k^*. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by using [4, Lemma 3, (b)], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{2,k}(x)| &\leq V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon} - R_{\ell,k}^{\varepsilon})(f)(x) \\ &= \sup_{\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\downarrow 0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left| \int_{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j,\,|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)} (R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y) - R_\ell(x-y))f(y)dy \right|^\rho \right)^{1/\rho} \\ &\leq \int_{|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)} |R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(x,y) - R_\ell(x-y)||f(y)|dy \\ &\leq C \int_{|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^n} \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\gamma(x)} \right)^{2-n/q} |f(y)|dy, \quad x \in Q_k^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then, since $\gamma(x) \sim \gamma(x_k)$, $x \in Q_k^*$, Hölder inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}|F_{2,k}(x)| &\leq C\left(\int_{|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)}|x-y|^{(2-n/q-n)r}dy\right)^{1/r}\frac{1}{\gamma(x_k)^{2-n/q}}\left(\int_{|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)}|f(y)|^{r'}dy\right)^{1/r'}\\ &\leq C\left(\frac{1}{\gamma(x_k)^n}\int_{|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)}|f(y)|^{r'}dy\right)^{1/r'} \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad x \in Q_k^*.\end{aligned}$$

Here, $1 < r < n/(n-2+n/q)$.

Since, for $i = 1,2$, $F_{i,k} \in L^\infty(Q_k^*)$ and $||F_{i,k}||_{L^\infty(Q_k^*)} \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where C does not depend on $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In order to see that the properties (i_k) and (ii_k) hold for $H = V_\rho(R_\ell^{\mathscr{L},\varepsilon})$ it is sufficient to establish those properties for $H = V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^\varepsilon)$.

Fix again $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^\varepsilon)(f)(x) &= \sup_{\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\downarrow 0}\left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty\left|\int_{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j,|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)}R_\ell(x-y)f(y)dy\right|^\rho\right)^{1/\rho}\\ &= \sup_{\{\varepsilon_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\downarrow 0}\left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty\left|\int_{\varepsilon_{j+1}<|x-y|<\varepsilon_j,|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)}R_\ell(x-y)f(y)\chi_{Q_k^{**}}(y)dy\right|^\rho\right)^{1/\rho}\\ &\leq V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^\varepsilon)(f\chi_{Q_k^{**}})(x), \quad x \in Q_k^*.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, according to Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k}|V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^\varepsilon)(f)(x)|dx &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k}|V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^\varepsilon)(f\chi_{Q_k^*})(x)|^2dx\right)^{1/2}\\ &\leq C\left(\frac{1}{|Q_k|}\int_{Q_k^*}|f(x)|^2dx\right)^{1/2} \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

Let now $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that $B = B(x_0, r_0) \subset Q_k^*$. Then, by using Proposition 3.2 we can see

$$\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B|V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^\varepsilon)(f)(x) - V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^\varepsilon)(f_2)(z_1)|dx \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

where $f_2 = (f - f_B)\chi_{(B^{**})^c}$ and $z_1 \in B$ is such that $V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^\varepsilon)(f_2)(z_1) < \infty$. Hence, $V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^\varepsilon)(f) \in \mathrm{BMO}(Q_k^*)$ and

$$||V_\rho(R_{\ell,k}^\varepsilon)(f)||_{\mathrm{BMO}(Q_k^*)} \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\tag{35}$$

Note that the constants $C > 0$ appearing in (34) and (35) do not depend on $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus the proof of the desired result is finished.

(ii) Assume now that $V \in RH_q$ where $n/2 < q$. It is sufficient to consider $n/2 < q < n$ ([17]). By proceeding as in the proof of the previous case, this result will be established when we see that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the operators defined by

$$T_{1,k}(f)(x) = \int_{|x-y|>\gamma(x_k)}|R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(y,x)||f(y)|dy,$$

and

$$T_{2,k}(f)(x) = \int_{|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)}|R_\ell^{\mathscr{L}}(y,x) - R_\ell(y-x)||f(y)|dy,$$

map $\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^\infty(Q_k^*)$, and, for $i = 1,2$,

$$||T_{i,k}(f)||_{L^\infty(Q_k^*)} \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad f \in \mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $C > 0$ does not depend on $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. According to [29, p. 538], we have that

$$\begin{aligned}|T_{1,k}(f)(x)| &\leq C\left(\int_{|x-y|>\gamma(x_k)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^n} \frac{1}{(1+|x-y|/\gamma(x))^{\alpha}} |f(y)|dy\right.\\ &\quad \left. + \int_{|x-y|>\gamma(x_k)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \frac{|f(y)|}{(1+|x-y|/\gamma(x))^{\alpha}} \int_{|y-z|<\frac{|x-y|}{4}} \frac{V(z)}{|z-y|^{n-1}} dzdy\right)\\ &= C(T_{1,1,k}(f)(x) + T_{1,2,k}(f)(x)), \quad x \in Q_k^*,\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ will be chosen later large enough.

As it was shown earlier, we have

$$||T_{1,1,k}(f)||_{L^\infty(Q_k^*)} \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{36}$$

provided that $\alpha \geq 1$.

On the other hand, since $\gamma(x) \sim \gamma(x_k)$ when $x \in Q_k^*$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}|T_{1,2,k}(f)(x)| &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j\alpha}(2^j\gamma(x_k))^{n-1}} \int_{2^j\gamma(x_k)<|x-y|\leq 2^{j+1}\gamma(x_k)} |f(y)| \int_{B(y,\frac{|x-y|}{4})} \frac{V(z)}{|z-y|^{n-1}} dzdy\\ &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j\alpha}(2^j\gamma(x_k))^{n-1}} \left(\int_{|x-y|\leq 2^{j+1}\gamma(x_k)} |f(y)|^{p_0'}dy\right)^{1/p_0'}\\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\int_{|x-z|<2^{j+2}\gamma(x_k)} \frac{V(z)}{|z-y|^{n-1}}dz\right|^{p_0} dy\right)^{1/p_0}, \quad x \in Q_k^*,\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p_0} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n}$. Then, the L^p-boundedness properties of the fractional integrals ([31, p. 354]) lead us to

$$|T_{1,2,k}(f)(x)| \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j\alpha}(2^j\gamma(x_k))^{n-1-n/q_0'}} \left(\int_{|x-z|<2^{j+2}\gamma(x_k)} |V(z)|^q dz\right)^{1/q}, \; x \in Q_k^*.$$

By using the properties of V and γ ([4, Lemma 1]) we obtain, for a certain $\mu > 0$,

$$\left(\int_{|x-z|<2^{j+2}\gamma(x_k)} |V(z)|^q dz\right)^{1/q} \leq C(2^j\gamma(x_k))^{-n/q'} 2^{j\mu}\gamma(x_k)^{n-2}, \quad x \in Q_k^*.$$

It follows that, by choosing $\alpha > 0$ large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}|T_{1,2,k}(f)(x)| &\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j(\alpha+n/q_0-1+n/q'-\mu)}}\\ &\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad x \in Q_k^*.\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

We conclude from (36) and (37) that

$$||T_{1,k}(f)||_{L^\infty(Q_k^*)} \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $C > 0$ does not depend on $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

According to [29, (5.9)] we get

$$\begin{aligned}|T_{2,k}(f)(x)| &\leq C\left(\int_{|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^n} \left(\frac{|x-y|}{\gamma(x)}\right)^{2-n/q} |f(y)|dy\right.\\ &\quad \left. + \int_{|x-y|<\gamma(x_k)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-1}} \int_{|y-z|<\frac{|x-y|}{4}} \frac{V(z)}{|z-y|^{n-1}} dz|f(y)|dy\right)\\ &= C(T_{2,1,k}(f)(x) + T_{2,2,k}(f)(x)), \; x \in Q_k^*.\end{aligned}$$

By proceeding as in the first part of this proof we have that

$$||T_{2,1,k}(f)||_{L^\infty(Q_k^*)} \leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{38}$$

We can also write

$$\begin{aligned}|T_{2,2,k}(f)(x)| &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\int_{2^{-j-1}\gamma(x_k)\leq|x-y|<2^{-j}\gamma(x_k)}\frac{|f(y)|}{(2^{-j}\gamma(x_k))^{n-1}}\int_{|x-z|<2^{-j+1}\gamma(x_k)}\frac{V(z)}{|y-z|^{n-1}}dzdy\\ &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2^{-j}\gamma(x_k))^{n-1}}\left(\int_{|x-y|<2^{-j}\gamma(x_k)}|f(y)|^{p_0'}dy\right)^{1/p_0'}\\ &\quad\times\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\int_{|x-z|<2^{-j+1}\gamma(x_k)}\frac{V(z)}{|y-z|^{n-1}}dz\right)^{p_0}dy\right)^{1/p_0}\\ &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2^{-j}\gamma(x_k))^{n-1-n/p_0'}}\left(\int_{|x-z|<2^{-j+1}\gamma(x_k)}V(z)^q dz\right)^{1/q}\\ &\quad\times\left(\frac{1}{(2^{-j}\gamma(x_k))^n}\int_{|x-y|<2^{-j}\gamma(x_k)}|f(y)|^{p_0'}dy\right)^{1/p_0'},\quad x\in Q_k^*,\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p_0}=\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{n}$.

Since $V\in RH_q$ and $\gamma(x)\sim\gamma(x_k)$, when $x\in Q_k^*$, we have ([4, Lemma 1])

$$\left(\int_{B(x,2^{-j+1}\gamma(x_k))}V(z)^q dz\right)^{1/q}\leq C\gamma(x_k)^{n/q-2},\quad x\in Q_k^*.$$

Moreover, an argument like the one used to show [13, Lemma 2] allows us to get

$$\left(\frac{1}{(2^{-j}\gamma(x_k))^n}\int_{|x-y|<2^{-j}\gamma(x_k)}|f(y)|^{p_0'}dy\right)^{1/p_0'}\leq Cj||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}|T_{2,2,k}(f)(x)| &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{j}{(2^{-j}\gamma(x_k))^{n/p_0-1}}\gamma(x_k)^{n/q-2}||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\quad x\in Q_k^*. \qquad (39)\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\frac{n}{p_0}-1=\frac{n}{q}-2<0$.

By combining (38) and (39) we conclude that

$$||T_{2,k}(f)||_{L^\infty(Q_k^*)}\leq C||f||_{\mathrm{BMO}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $C>0$ does not depend on $k\in\mathbb{N}$.

Thus the proof is finished.

References

[1] C. Bennet, R.A. DeVore, and R. Sharpley, *Weak-L^∞ and BMO*, Ann. of Math. (2) **113** (3) (1981), 601-611.

[2] J.J. Betancor, J.C. Fariña, E. Harboure and L. Rodríguez-Mesa, *L^p-boundedness properties of variation and oscillation operators in the Schródinger setting*, arXiv:1010.3117v1 [math.CA], preprint.

[3] B. Bongioanni, E. Harboure, and O. Salinas, *Weighted inequalities for negative powers of Schrödinger operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **348** (2008), no. 1, 12–27.

[4] ———, *Riesz transform related to Schrödinger operators acting on BMO type spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **357** (2009), 115-131.

[5] ———, *Commutators of Riesz transform related to Schrödinger operators*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., (DOI:10.1007/S00041-010-9133-6).

[6] J. Bourgain, *Pointwise ergodic theorems for arithmetic sets*, Publ. Math., IHES **69** (1989), 5-45.

[7] J.T. Campbell, R.L. Jones, K. Reinhold, and M. Wierdl, *Oscillation and variation for the Hilbert transform*, Duke Math. J. **105** (2000), no. 1, 59–83.

[8] ———, *Oscillation and variation for singular integrals in higher dimensions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 5, 2115–2137.

[9] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, and G. Weiss, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), no. 3, 611–635.

[10] R. Crescimbeni, R. A. Macías, T. Menárguez, J. L. Torrea, and B. Viviani, *The ρ-variation as an operator between maximal operators and singular integrals*, J. Evol. Equ. **9** (2009), no. 1, 81–102.

[11] R. Crescimbeni, F. Martín-Reyes, A. de la Torre, and J.L. Torrea, *The ρ-variation of the Hermitian Riesz transform*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), **26** (10) (2010), 1827-1838.

[12] J.-F. Dong and H.-P. Liu, *The BMO_L space and Riesz transforms associated with Schrödinger operators*, Acta Math. Sin., **26** (4) (2010), 659-668.

[13] J. Dziubański, G. Garrigós, T. Martínez, J. L. Torrea, and J. Zienkiewicz, *BMO spaces related to Schrödinger operators with potentials satisfying a reverse Hölder inequality*, Math. Z. **249** (2005), no. 2, 329–356.

[14] J. Dziubański, and J. Zienkiewicz, *Hardy space H^1 associated to Schrödinger operator with potential satisfying reverse Hölder inequality*, Revista Mat. Iberoamericana **15** (2) (1999), 279-296.

[15] J. Dziubański, and J. Zienkiewicz, *H^p spaces for Schrödinger operators*, Fourier Analysis and Related Topics, Vol. 56, Banach Center Publications, 2002, 45-53.

[16] J. Dziubański, and J. Zienkiewicz, *H^p spaces associated with Schrödinger operators with potentials from reverse Hölder classes*, Colloq. Math. **98** (1) (2003), 5–38.

[17] F. W. Gehring, *The L^p-integrability of the partial derivatives of a quasiconformal mapping*, Acta Math. **130** (1973), 265–277.

[18] T. A. Gillespie and J. L. Torrea, *Dimension free estimates for the oscillation of Riesz transforms*, Israel J. Math. **141** (2004), 125–144.

[19] Z. Guo, P. Li, and L. Peng, *L^p boundedness of commutators of Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **341** (2008), 421-432.

[20] E. Harboure, R. Macías, T. Menárguez, and J.L. Torrea, *Oscillation and variation for the Gaussian Riesz transforms and Poisson integral*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **135** (1) (2005) 85–104.

[21] R.L. Jones, R. Kaufman, J. Rosenblatt and M. Wierdl, *Oscillation in ergodic theory*, Ergodic. Th. and Dynam. Sys. **18** (4) (1998), 889-936.

[22] R. L. Jones and K. Reinhold, *Oscillation and variation inequalities for convolution powers*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **21** (2001), no. 6, 1809–1829.

[23] R.L. Jones, A. Seeger and J. Wright, *Strong variational and jump inequalities in harmonic analysis*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (12) (2008), 6711-6742.

[24] R.L. Jones and G. Wang, *Variation inequalities for the Fejer and Poisson kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (11) (2004), 4493–4518.

[25] Y. Liu and Y. Ding, *Some estimates of Schrödinger-type operators with certain nonnegative potentials*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2008, Art. ID 214030, 8 pp. .

[26] Y. Liu and J. Dong, *Some estimates of higher order Riesz transform related to Schrödinger-type operators*, Potential Analysis **32** (1) (2010), 41–55.

[27] R. Oberlin, A. Seeger. T. Tao, C. Thiele, and J. Wright, *A variation norm Carleson theorem*, Arxiv:0910.1555.

[28] Z. W. Shen, *On the Neumann problem for Schrödinger operators in Lipschitz domains*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** (1) (1994), 143-176.

[29] Z. W. Shen, *L^p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **45** (1995), no. 2, 513–546.

[30] E. M. Stein, *Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory.*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 63, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.

[31] ———, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, **43** (1993), xiv+695, With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.

[32] S. Sugano, *L^p estimates for some Schrödinger operators and a Calderón-Zygmund operator of Schrödinger type*, Tokyo J. Math. **30** (2007), 179–197.

[33] L. Tang, and J. Dong, *Boundedness for some Schrödinger type operators on Morrey spaces related to certain nonnegative potentials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **355** (2009), 101-109.

[34] A. Torchinsky, *Real-variable methods in harmonic analysis*, Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, New York, 2004.

[35] Dachun Yang, Dongyong Yang and Y. Zhou, *Endpoint properties of localized Riesz transforms and fractional integrals associated to Schrödinger operators*, Potential Analysis **30** (2009), 271-300.

[36] Dachun Yang and Dongyong Yang, *Characterizations of Localized BMO($\mathbb{R}^n$) via Commutators of Localized Riesz Transforms and Fractional Integrals Associated to Schrödinger Operators*, Collectanea Math., **61** (1) (2010), 65-79.

[37] J. Zhong, *Harmonic analysis for some Schrödinger type operators*, Ph. D. Thesis, Princeton University, 1993.

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de la Laguna, Campus de Anchieta, Avda. Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez, s/n, 38271 La Laguna (Sta. Cruz de Tenerife), Spain
E-mail address: `jbetanco@ull.es`

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de la Laguna, Campus de Anchieta, Avda. Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez, s/n, 38271 La Laguna (Sta. Cruz de Tenerife), Spain
E-mail address: `jcfarina@ull.es`

Instituto de Matemática Aplicada del Litoral, IMAL, Universidad Nacional del Litoral, C/ Gëmes, Santa Fe, Argentina

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de la Laguna, Campus de Anchieta, Avda. Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez, s/n, 38271 La Laguna (Sta. Cruz de Tenerife), Spain
E-mail address: `jrguez@ull.es`